

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

December 17, 2020

BY CM/ECF

Honorable P. Kevin Castel United States District Judge Southern District of New York New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Nicholas Joseph, a/k/a "Gotti," a/k/a "Finesse,"

20 Cr. 603 (PKC)

Dear Judge Castel:

Please find enclosed for the Court's consideration a proposed protective order in the above-referenced matter. Courts have routinely relied on Rule 16(d)(1) to limit discovery in situations where the disclosure of certain discovery beyond the defendant and his counsel could have harmful consequences. *See United States v. Aref*, 533 F.3d 72, 78 (2d Cir. 2008) (protective order for classified information); *United States v. Smith*, 985 F. Supp. 2d 506, 530-35 (S.D.N.Y. 2013) (protective order for information that might impede ongoing investigations); *United States v. Figueras*, 2009 WL 1364640, at *1-2 (W.D.N.Y. May 19, 2009) (protective order for identity of confidential informants); *United States v. Luchko*, 2007 WL 1651139, at *7 (E.D. Pa. June 6, 2007) (protective order entered because of, among other reasons, the personal privacy interests of third parties). In this case, the Government anticipates that the discovery materials will include, among other things: (1) evidence and law enforcement reports relating to an ongoing investigation that may refer to victims and/or witnesses; and (2) materials that affect the privacy and confidentiality of the defendants and third parties. For this reason, the Government believes that the enclosed narrowly tailored Protective Order is appropriate. The enclosed Protective Order has already been executed by counsel for all defendant.

Respectfully submitted,

AUDREY STRAUSS United States Attorney

By: /s/ Andrew K. Chan

Andrew K. Chan / Justin V. Rodriguez Assistant United States Attorneys

Tel: (212) 637-1072 / 2591

cc: Marlon Kirton, Esq.